

February 8, 2008

Glenda Sakala
241D East Main Street
Westboro, Massachusetts 01581

Re: Tiverton Zoning Board Relief; Map 1-2, Block/Plat 167, Card/Lot 20

Dear Ms. Sakala:

The following is the decision on your Petition heard by the Zoning Board of Review (the "Board") on February 6, 2008 for a request for a variance from Article V, Section 1 of the Tiverton Zoning Ordinance (the "Zoning Ordinance") to re-construct a deck on property located at 29 William Avenue, Tiverton, Rhode Island, at Map 1-2, Block/Plat 167, Card/Lot 20 (the "Premises") closer to the rear and side setback than is allowed in an R80 district.

After the testimony was completed at the public hearing for which due notice was given and a record kept, and after having viewed the premises and the surrounding area, the Board, taking into consideration its knowledge and expertise and all of the testimony and evidence entered into the record at the public hearing, makes the following findings:

1. That the Premises contains approximately 2,500 square feet of land area, more or less, and is zoned R80 residential.
2. A residential dwelling is located on the Premises.
3. The petitioner testified that she wished to re-construct a pre-existing deck that was removed to allow for the removal of a fuel oil tank that had been buried under the area where the deck was located. The proposal requires variance relief from the Board, pursuant to Article V, Section 1. of the Zoning Ordinance because the deck is located closer to the rear and side setback than is allowed in an R80 district.
4. The petitioner testified that the proposal would meet current neighborhood development conditions and would not exceed the size, shape or location of the previous deck.
5. The petitioner also testified that the proposal would be in keeping with the development in the surrounding area, would not negatively impact any nearby property owners and was the smallest addition necessary.
6. No objections were raised to the proposed alterations. In fact, several neighbors attended the hearing to lend support for the petition.
7. The Board concurred with the factual statements and opinions of the petitioner, whose information, analysis and conclusions were found credible and are made a part of the record. No objections were presented by any abutters.

Based on the foregoing, the Board made a motion to approve the zoning relief application. After deliberations on the application, the Board voted unanimously to grant the application for the necessary variances, as follows:

- a. Special conditions and circumstances exist which are special and peculiar to the land or structure involved, and which are not applicable to other lands or structures in the same zoning district, and are not due to a physical or economic disability of the petitioner.
- b. Issuance of the requested relief will not be contrary to the public interest, and that, owing to special or peculiar site or structural conditions, literal enforcement of the provisions of this ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship on the petitioner.
- c. The unnecessary hardship, which the petitioner seeks to avoid, has not been imposed by any prior action of the petitioner and is not based purely for monetary gain or loss.
- d. The granting of the requested variance will not alter the general character of the surrounding area or impair the intent or purpose of the zoning ordinance or the comprehensive plan upon which the ordinance is based.
- e. Relief from the provisions of this ordinance is the least relief necessary to remove the unnecessary hardship.
- f. That nonconforming use of neighboring lands, structures or buildings in the same district, and permitted use of lands, structures or buildings in an adjacent district did not form the grounds for the application of this variance request.
- g. That the hardship that will be suffered by the petitioner of the subject property if the dimensional variance is not granted amounts to more than a mere inconvenience.

This petition is granted by the Board with the following stipulations and conditions:

1. That this decision must be recorded in the Land Evidence Records in the Town Clerk's Office before a building permit/certificate of occupancy is issued. (Please note that the appeal period (20 days) begins when said decision is recorded and posted with the Town Clerk's Office).
2. That the relief is limited to the plans and representations made by the petitioner to the Board so that the new deck does not exceed the size, shape or location of the previously existing deck, expect that changes to the approved plan may be made without approval of the Zoning Board of Review if needed to comply with either the building or fire codes.
3. That this grant shall be activated with a building permit/certificate of occupancy, within one (1) year, unless extended by the Board, or it shall become void.

Present this letter to the Building Inspector when applying for the necessary permits.

Very truly yours,

David Collins, Chairman
Tiverton Zoning Board of Review

Recorded 2-11-08
Book 1181 page 106